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**Abstract**

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *Pfmdr1*, and *Pfcrt*, genes of *Plasmodium falciparum* may confer resistance to a number of anti-malaria drugs. *Pfmdr1* 86Y and haplotypes at *Pfcrt* 72-76 have been linked to chloroquine (CQ) as well as amodiaquine (AQ) resistance. mefloquine (MQ) and lumefantrine (LU) sensitivities are linked to *Pfmdr1* 86Y. Additionally, *Pfcrt* K76 allele carrying parasites have shown tolerance to LU. We investigated the association between *Pfmdr1* 86/*Pfcrt* 72-76 and *P. falciparum* resistance to CQ, AQ, MQ and LU using field samples collected during 2008–2011 from malaria endemic sites in western Kenya. Genomic DNA from these samples was genotyped to examine SNPs and haplotypes in *Pfmdr1* and *Pfcrt* respectively. Additionally, immediate *ex vivo* and *in vitro* drug sensitivity profiles were assessed using the malaria SYBR Green I fluorescence-based assay. We observed a rapid but steady percent increase in wild-type parasites with regard to both *Pfmdr1* and *Pfcrt* between 2008 and 2011 (p<0.0001). Equally, a significant reciprocal decrease in AQ and CQ median IC50 values occurred (p<0.0001) during the same period. Thus, the data in this study point to a significantly rapid change in parasite response to AQ and CQ in the study period. This may be due to releasing of drug pressure on the parasite from reduced use of AQ in the face of increased Artemisinin (ART) Combination Therapy (ACT) administration following the intervention of the Global Fund in 2008. LU has been shown to select for 76K genotypes, thus the observed increase in 76K genotypes coupled with significant cross resistance between LU and MQ, may herald emergence of tolerance against both drugs in future.

**Introduction**

Until 1998, CQ was the drug of choice in Kenya due to its efficiency in tackling the malaria menace. However, resistance developed against CQ leading to replacement with the sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (S/P) combination. Soon thereafter resistance also developed against SP [1] and was in turn replaced in 2006 with artesinin (ART)-based derivatives as the primary malaria treatment medicine. Prior to the widespread adoption of ART-based drugs, there was significant use of amodiaquine (AQ) as an over-the-counter medication because widespread resistance to S/P was observed clinically in Kenya [2]. Mono-therapies are highly susceptible to the development of resistance as exemplified by the recent emergence of resistance to artesunate monotherapy on the Thai-Cambodia border [3,4]. Consequently antimalarial combination therapies have been adopted the world over in an effort to protect the available antimalarial drugs [5]. Currently in

Kenya, ART combination therapy (ACT) is advocated for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria [6]. Some of the ACTs that have shown efficacy include artemether-lumefantrine (AL), which is the current first-line antimalarial in Kenya, artesunate-mefloquine [7] and artesunate-amodiaquine (ASAQ) [8]. Combination therapy is preferred because a short half life drug such as an ART derivative clears most of the parasites. Thus, even those parasites that may possess a level of resistance to the longer half life partner drug are killed. In reciprocity, the longer acting partner drug such as LU protects its partner by killing any residual parasites that may possess a level of resistance to the shorter half life partner drug are killed. In reciprocity, the longer acting partner drug such as LU protects its partner by killing any residual parasites [9]. However, there is a potential time window–after the shorter half-life partner has been metabolized and only the longer half-life partner drug is circulating at low levels–when malaria reinfection may initiate the selection of drug resistance to the partner long-life drug. The molecular mechanisms behind multidrug resistance by *P. falciparum* remain largely unknown. However, polymorphisms
within the Pfmdr1 gene that encodes a trans-membrane homologue of the PGH1 protein have been implicated. The main implicated Pfmdr1 SNPs include N86Y, Y184F, S1034C, N1042D [10]. Some of the drugs affected by SNPs in Pfmdr1 include AQ, LU, ART, MQ, and CQ. Resistance to AQ and its metabolite DEAQ has been linked to mutations in Pfmdr1 [11,12]. Whereas resistance to AQ has been extensively reported in South America [13,14], this drug has remained relatively effective in Africa, especially as a viable partner drug for ART [15]. The selection by the AL combination for Pfmdr1 alleles has recently been observed [16]. Pfmdr1 N86Y has also been associated with increased tolerance to the artemether and LU drugs separately [17,18,19]. Moreover, there are indications that Pfmdr1 gene amplification may cause resistance to ART [20,21]. Overall, amplification of the Pfmdr1 gene leads to mefloquine resistance [22,23]. Even though MQ has been adopted as partner drug to artemisinin, it has been observed to select for the wild type Pfmdr1 N86Y [24]. On the other hand, parasites with the mutant 86Y show increased sensitivity to MQ [25]. Pfmdr1 involvement in CQ resistance has been suggested to be secondary to Pfcr [26,27]. Nevertheless, CQ selects for parasites with Pfmdr1 86Y mutation [26], thus showing an inverse relationship with MQ. Differences in CQ IC50 of isolates with the same Pfmdr1 and Pfcr mutation profiles have been observed, indicating that there are other mechanisms besides those associated with the Pfmdr1 and Pfcr genes, involved in CQ resistance [28].

Mutations in Pfcr are associated with CQ, AQ, and LU resistance; specifically, the Pfcr 72-76 CVIET and SVMNT haplotypes have been implicated. The 76T point mutation is the main marker for CQ resistance, while the SVMNT haplotype is required for resistance against AQ. In the case of CQ, the mutated export protein loses a positive charge and, therefore, has ability to transport protonated CQ from the food vacuole [29]. In Malawi, a rapid decrease in parasites carrying 76T was observed after the official discontinuation of CQ use [30]. Parasites carrying the CVIET haplotype are moderately resistant to AQ and highly resistant to CQ. Inversely, parasites carrying the SVMNT haplotype are highly resistant to AQ but only moderately resistant to CQ [31]. Additionally Pfcr K76 has been linked to emerging LU tolerance [17,19,32].

Full implementation of the use of Coartem™, a fixed dose AL combination, as the official first-line antimalarial therapy in Kenya was achieved beginning 2008. Consequently, we examined Pfmdr1 codon 86, Pfcr codon 76, and the Pfcr 72-76 haplotypes, in samples from Kisumu, Kisii and Kericho districts of western-Kenya, in relation to in vitro drug responses beginning 2008 until 2011. We hypothesized that, the current policy change had major implications on other drugs that had been in use until and during the time of the policy change. Therefore the relevance of the malaria genotypic and phenotypic sensitivity data for western Kenya as a result of the ACT policy implementation will be discussed.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement, Study Protocol, Sites and Subjects

The study protocol was approved by the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI, Protocol # 1300) and Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR, Protocol # 1384) institutional review boards. Field isolates were obtained from Kenya Ministry of Health facilities, namely Kisumu, Kisii and Kericho district hospitals. We enrolled subjects attending outpatient clinics between 2008 and 2011, who were at least 6 months old and were suspected to have uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria. Written informed consent was obtained from adult subjects (≥ 18 years old) or assent from legal guardians for subjects < 18 years old. The study excluded patients who had been treated for malaria in the 2 weeks preceding a visit to the clinic. Migrant patients were also excluded from participating in the study.

Sample Collection and Preparation

2–3 ml of blood was collected from eligible candidates who had tested positive by rapid diagnostic test (RDT; Parascreen® (Pan/Pl), Zephyr Biomedical, Verna Goa, India) for P. falciparum malaria. Additionally, FTA filter paper (Whatman Inc., Bound Brook, New Jersey, USA) was used to collect three blood spots of about 100 μl each for DNA extraction. Also prepared were two blood films on glass slides for microscopy. All positive specimens were confirmed by microscopy in the USAMRU-K laboratory.

For immediate ex vivo testing, P. falciparum isolates from Kisumu district hospital were collected in acid citrate dextrose (ACD) vacutainer tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Inc., Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) and availed to the laboratory within 4–6 hours. P. falciparum isolates from Kericho and Kisii district hospitals, were placed in storage-transport media, and refrigerated at 4°C until transported to the laboratory, within 72 hours, for laboratory culture-adaptation.

In vitro Drug Sensitivity Testing

The SYBR Green I-based IC50 drug sensitivity assay, described elsewhere [33] was used for ex vivo and in vitro drug sensitivity testing. Briefly, each isolate was tested against a number of conventional antimalarials namely mefloquine hydrochloride (MQ), Lumefantrine (LU) chloroquine diphosphate (CQ), and amodiaquine hydrochloride (AQ). Drugs were sourced from Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, (Silver Spring, Maryland, USA).

Reference P. falciparum clones, D6 (considered CQ-sensitive) and W2 (considered CQ-resistant) were assayed against all drugs as an internal control. These clones were obtained from frozen stocks and culture-adapted for drug sensitivity assays. Stock drug solutions at 1 mg/ml were prepared in 70% ethanol for CQ, MQ and LU or 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for AQ. For starting concentrations complete RPMI 1640 media was used as the diluent, followed by 10 well serial 2-fold dilutions. The following highest and lowest nanomolar (nM) concentration ranges were achieved: AQ (281 to 0.6), CQ (3125 to 6.1), LU (188.7 to 0.37) and MQ (603 to 1.2). The drugs thus prepared were either used immediately, or stored at −80°C for no more than one month.

P. falciparum field isolates from Kericho and Kisii, refrigerated in transport media, as well as the 2 P. falciparum laboratory reference clones D6 and W2, were culture-adapted before subjecting to the SYBR Green I assay. The parasites were cultured at 6% hematocrit for 7 to 30 days, to reach 3–8% parasitemia [34]. For IC50 drug assays, culture-adapted parasites were adjusted to 2% hematocrit and 1% parasitemia, in 96 well plates and antimalarial drug aliquots in complete RPMI 1640 added to the wells.

Ex vivo Drug Sensitivity Testing

Pf isolates from the Kisumu District Hospital, 15 minutes journey from the central lab, were analyzed ex vivo within 4–6 hours of collection. These were processed the day of phlebotomy without culture-adaptation, using the SYBR Green I-based IC50 drug sensitivity assay as described in literature [33]. Briefly, blood samples with >1% parasitemia were adjusted to 1% parasitemia at 2% hematocrit, and those with ≤1% parasitemia were used
unadjusted at 2% hematocrit. Following this, antimalarial drug aliquots in complete RPMI 1640 were added to the wells and tested as explained above. It was not possible to perform IEV on samples from Kericho District Hospital and Kisii District Hospital as it was not logistically possible to receive these samples within the 4–6 hour window.

**Pfmdr1 and PfcrSingle Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Analysis and Sequencing for Pfcr72-76 Haplotyp e Analysis**

SNP analysis was conducted for *Pfm ndr1* codons 86 (N86Y) using real-time PCR as previously described [35]. Probes were labeled with the VIC-reporter dye (ABT) for wild type and the FAM-reporter dye for the mutant, respectively. For Pfcr, conventional PCR was done as described elsewhere [27]. Additionally, all PCR amplicons were selected for sequencing. The isolates were purified using Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Inc). *Pfcr* sequencing of the amplicons was done on the 3500 xL ABI Genetic analyzer using version 3.1 of the big dye terminator method (Applied Biosystems). Assembling of the generated sequences to make contigs was performed using DNA Baser version 3x and the sequences aligned in MUSCLE version 3.8. The alignment was visualized using BioEdit version 7.1.3.0. All sequences were compared against the 3D7 sequence at the NCBI database.

**PFcr1 Copy Number**

For all genotyping assays, DNA was extracted from FTA filter paper blots or whole blood (for *ex vivo* specimens) according to manufacturer instructions (QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit, QIAGEN, Inc, Alameda, California, USA). *PFcr1* Copy numbers were estimated as previously described [35]. Briefly, genomic DNA from *P. falciparum* reference clone 3D7, known to have 1 copy of *PFcr1* gene, was used as the calibrator [36]. The housekeeping gene used was *P. falciparum* tubulin, and for multiple *PFcr1* copy control, DNA from the Dd2 clone was used.

**Statistical Analysis**

We analyzed data by using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis H one-way ANOVA, the Mann-Whitney U test, Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Test, Chi-square, and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (GraphPad Prism 5.00 for windows, GraphPad software, San Diego, CA).

**Results**

**Chemosensitivity Assay**

A total of 158 West-Kenyan field isolates from Kisumu, Kisii and Kericho were individually assayed for drug sensitivity against CQ, AQ, MQ and LU between 2008 and 2011. The data were then pooled and analyzed. The four drugs were also tested against D6 and W2, which serve as CQ sensitive and CQ resistant reference strains, respectively. For D6 the median IC50 values in nM units were as follows: CQ, 13.0, (IQR 6.0 to 12.5), AQ; 3.3, n = 14, (IQR 2.8 to 4.3). Additionally, we report the following median IC50s in nM against AQ between 2009 and 2011, p<0.001; T2009 vs. K2011; p<0.001 and T2010 vs. K2011; p<0.005. Moreover, the AQ 76T related median IC50 was significantly different between 2009 and 2011, p<0.001 (Figure 1B). When a similar analysis was done for AQ and *PFmdr1* N86Y, we found that AQ median IC50 values were also significantly associated with N86Y both intra- and inter-year (Figure 2B). Thus, we observed the following significant inter-year inverse relationships between 86N and 86Y related median IC50s: Y2008 vs. N2011, p<0.001; Y2008 vs. N2011, p<0.001; Y2009 vs. N2010, p<0.001; and Y2009 vs. N2011 p<0.001.

In regard to MQ, we observed the following significant inter- intra-year inverse associations between 86N and 86Y related median IC50s: Y2008 vs. N2010, p<0.001; Y2008 vs. N2011, p<0.001; Y2009 vs. N2010, p<0.001; and Y2009 vs. N2011 p<0.001. These findings were using Kruskal Wallis H test.

**Table 1. Median IC50s for CQ, AQ, LU and MQ per Year during 2008–2011.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CQ (n)</th>
<th>AQ (n)</th>
<th>LU (n)</th>
<th>MQ (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>92.81(49)</td>
<td>14.49(51)</td>
<td>22.91(11)</td>
<td>18.11(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>69.30(39)</td>
<td>7.98(42)</td>
<td>23.88(51)</td>
<td>17.42(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>44.91(51)</td>
<td>5.11(41)</td>
<td>32.08(50)</td>
<td>19.52(48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>22.37(53)</td>
<td>5.66(61)</td>
<td>31.56(52)</td>
<td>24.76(61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p* value <0.0001, p<0.0001, *P* = 0.17, *p* = 0.07

Medians were calculated in Graphpad prism Version 5. Statistical significance was determined using Kruskal Wallis H test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064299.001
study also showed significant intra-/inter-year relationships between LU and K76T, whereby K76 isolates had comparatively higher LU median IC50 than 76T isolates (Figure 1D) as follows: K2009 vs. T2011, p < 0.01; T2010 vs. K2010, p < 0.05; K2010 vs. T2011, p < 0.001; T2011 vs. K2011, p < 0.05. Additionally over the study period 76T related medians were different as follows: T2008 vs. T2011, p < 0.001; T2009 vs. T2011, p < 0.01; and T2010 vs. T2011, p < 0.001. It was observed that the LU 76T median IC50s of 2011 were much lower than the preceding years (Figure 1D). Interestingly, we did not observe any relationships between LU median IC50 values and Pfmdr1 N86Y during the study period. Equally, Pfmdr1 gene amplification was not discerned in any of the study samples.

Pfcrt 72-76 Haplotypes during 2008–2011

We investigated the Pfcrt 72-76 haplotypes in all samples that were successfully sequenced between 2008 and 2011 (n = 333). In 2008 we assayed 87 samples, of these, 27.59% were CVMNK and 72.4% were CVIET at the 72-76 respective positions. During 2009 a total of 69 samples were analyzed of which 31.9% had the CVMNK haplotype whereas 68.1% were CVIET. In 2010 out of 124 isolates 56.5% were CVMNK and those with CVIET were at 43.6%. In 2011, a total of 53 samples were assayed with 67.9% carrying the CVMNK haplotype compared to CVIET at 32.1%. We did not observe any relationships between LU median IC50 values and Pfmdr1 N86Y during the study period. Equally, Pfmdr1 gene amplification was not discerned in any of the study samples.

Pfmdr1 N86Y Frequencies between 2008 and 2011

We analyzed frequencies of SNPs in Pfmdr1 codon 86 in 243 samples during 2008, 225 samples in 2009, 395 samples in 2010 and 314 samples in 2011. We observed a steady increase in the frequencies of Pfmdr1 N86 genotype as compared to 86Y and N86/86Y between 2008 and 2011 (p < 0.0001, Figure 3B).

In vitro Drug Activity Correlation Tests

Using the Pearson product-moment correlation test we sought to further delineate any relationships among the test drugs (Table 2). We found no correlation between the two 4-amino Quinolines, AQ and CQ, phenotypic activity (r = 0.009, p = 0.92). However, a positive correlation between phenotypic activities of the two aryl amino-quinoline alcohols LU and MQ (r = 0.516, p < 0.0001) was detected. There was a moderate inverse correlation between LU and CQ (r = −0.3, p = 0.002).

Analysis of Combined Pfcrt 76/Pfmdr1 86 Haplotypes vs. Medians

We analyzed Pfcrt 76/Pfmdr1 86 haplotypes in the following combinations: K76-N86, K76-86Y, 76T-N86, 76T-86Y. These were compared against the respective AQ, CQ, LU and MQ Median IC50 (Table 3). For CQ, we observed that samples carrying the haplotypes K76-N86 and K76-86Y had the lowest medians at 18.1 nM and 18.8 nM, respectively, as compared to 76T-N86 and 76T-86Y at 70.3 nM and 71.3 nM, respectively (p < 0.0001, Table 3). Samples with the haplotype K76-N86 were the most sensitive to AQ as compared to the other three haplotypes (p < 0.01, Table 3). For LU, there were no significant differences among samples in the four haplotypes categories when compared against their respective median IC50 values. For MQ, the K76-86Y and 76T-86Y haplotypes carrying samples showed significant differences in their median IC50 values when compared against 76T-N86 and K76-N86 (p < 0.001, Table 3).
Discussion

We have characterized \textit{P. falciparum} field isolates from Western-Kenya during the period 2008–2011 based on analysis of SNPs in \textit{Pfmdr1} and haplotypes in \textit{PfCRT}. This analysis was done in relation to susceptibility profiles of four antimalarial drugs namely CQ, AQ, MQ and LU, for the same period. \textit{Pfcrt} 76T has previously been implicated in chloroquine resistance as well as LU tolerance [32]. This study has shown rapid conversion of the parasite population to the CQ sensitive allele K76 between 2008 and 2011. This time period coincides with the comprehensive use of Coartem in Kenya for malaria treatment, which was partly driven by the ease of availability starting in 2008 [37]. We suggest that reciprocate reduction in the use of AQ caused a release of drug resistance pressure on \textit{Pfcrt} (Figure 1B) and \textit{Pfmdr1} (Figure 2B). This trend is supported by the observed rapid increase in both AQ and CQ sensitivity (Table 1). Prior to 2008, CQ resistance was observed for a long time even after stoppage of its use in Kenya in 1998. In fact, a study looking at the \textit{Pfct} changes over a 13 year period between 1993 and 2006 in Kilifi, Kenya found that the 76T mutation only decreased from 94% to 63% [38]. A study in Malawi described a larger reduction—from 85% to 13%—over a similar time period, which may suggest that CQ related drug resistance pressure may have been continued at higher rates in Kenya as compared to elsewhere in Africa. Our study measures a more recent timeframe and shows a reduction from 77% to 30% over a period of 4 years (2008–2011) accompanied by increased CQ and AQ phenotypic drug sensitivity. We speculate that the significant widespread use of AQ in Kenya as an alternative to S/P prior to the use of ACTs may have resulted in the observed apparent maintenance of CQ resistance due to structural similarities between the two drugs [39], but with the advent and acceptability of ACT use within the Kenyan health care community this drug pressure dissipated in the last 5 years.

Figure 2. \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP compared against drug IC$_{50}$ (in nM). A. Comparison of \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP against CQ IC$_{50}$ stratified by year; B. Comparison of \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP against AQ IC$_{50}$ stratified by year; C. Comparison of \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP against MQ IC$_{50}$ stratified by year; D. Comparison of \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP against LU IC$_{50}$ stratified by year. Median values are shown. *indicates data is significant.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064299.g002

Figure 3. Frequency of \textit{Pfct} 72-76 haplotype and \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP. A. \textit{Pfct} 72-76 haplotype frequency per year; B. \textit{Pfmdr1} N86Y SNP frequency per year. Statistical significance was determined by Chi-square.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064299.g003
Haplotypes in *P. falciparum* at the 72–76 loci have been linked to both AQ and CQ resistance. Specifically, the SVMNT variant has been linked to high AQ resistance and moderate CQ resistance [31]. As expected, the highly CQ resistant haplotype CVIET which has been linked to resistance in Southeast Asia and Africa, was the most observed during the year 2008 while CVMNK linked to CQ sensitivity was the least observed in 2008. However there was a dramatic and steady reversal of the relative status of the two haplotypes between the year 2008 and 2011 (Figure 3A). No correlation between AQ and CQ was observed as measured by the Pearson product-moment correlation test (Table 2). We did not detect the SVMNT haplotype in our study samples, but it has previously been reported in Tanzania [40] and most recently in Angola [41]. In the case of Tanzania, the acquisition of the SVMNT resistance haplotype was rapid (between 2003 and 2004), and it is unclear why we did not observe this haplotype in western Kenya. However, pre-2008 isolates will be tested to establish its presence/absence prior to this study period. The absence of SVMNT—an indicator of AQ sensitivity—is potentially fortuitous since the amodiaquine-arpesunate (ASAQ) combination is a potential ACT alternative to Coartem in Kenya. Indeed studies have proven that ASAQ has satisfactory efficacy against *P. falciparum* in Kenya [42,43].

The present data shows that parasites carrying the wild type P*forte* allele, K76, had a significantly higher median LU IC50 value compared to isolates with 76T beginning in 2009 (Figure 1D). It has previously been suggested that increased sensitivity to chloroquine would be accompanied by resistance to LU [17]. In Kenya, LU has been shown to select for the K76 allele [17]. Based on changing alleles in P*forte* we suggest that reestablishing of LU IC50 baselines may be occurring. Analysis of IC50 values show that there is significant positive correlation between LU and MQ (Table 2), an indicator of cross resistance between the two drugs. In addition, previous work has shown increasing MQ tolerance in Kenya in the absence of drug pressure [33,44]. Studies in East Africa have demonstrated MQ selection of the Pfmdr1 N86 resistance gene [32,45]. This study shows that between 2008 and 2011, there has been a substantial rise in the prevalence of N86 allele (Figure 3B) among our specimens. Even though there was an increase in MQ median IC50 values between 2008 and 2011, this increase did not attain statistical significance. Copy number amplification of the Pfmdr1 gene has been shown to cause MQ resistance [22]. We did not observe multiple copy numbers of Pfmdr1 in any of our isolates, but we will continue to monitor Pfmdr1 copy numbers in western Kenya in correlation with sensitivity and SNP data.

**Table 2.** Pearson product-moment correlation for CQ, AQ, MQ and LU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug pair</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>r²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AQ-MQ</td>
<td>0.1999</td>
<td>0.03996</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ-LU</td>
<td>0.2058</td>
<td>0.04234</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ-CQ</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU-MQ</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.2663</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU-CQ</td>
<td>-0.3004</td>
<td>0.09026</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQ-CQ</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01209</td>
<td>0.2318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation was determined in Graphpad prism Version 5 based on IC50 values for each pair of drugs per isolate. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064299.t002

**Table 3.** Combined Pfcrt/Pfmdr1 86 haplotype vs. medians.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Medians in nM (n)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K76-N86</td>
<td>18.8 (62)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K76-86Y</td>
<td>18.1 (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76T-N86</td>
<td>70.3 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76T-86Y</td>
<td>71.3 (34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pfmdr1** SNP changes in Kenya have been previously studied for the periods 1999–2000 and 2003–2005 [1,44]. Comparing these two periods, an increase in the prevalence of the 86Y mutation is observed. Data for the year 2008 [33] show that the prevalence of N86Y SNP remained unchanged as compared to those of 2003–2005 [44]. However, in the present, study covering the period 2008 to 2011, we observe a steady decrease in codon 86 mutation rates (Figure 3B). Drug policy change in Kenya from S/P to AL (Coartem) was announced in 2006 and broadly implemented by 2008, countrywide with support from the Global Fund [37]. Thus, it would be expected that co-resistance between MQ and LU may explain the observed trends of N86Y in our study beginning in the year 2009, stemming from LU drug pressure. However, it is noteworthy that whereas there are trends showing significant association between MQ and N86Y over the study period, none can be established between LU and N86Y (Figure 2D). Thus, we speculate that there are other factors that may be involved with the changes observed in Pfmdr1 N86Y.

Interestingly, beginning 2009 there was a significant trend linking AQ to changes in Pfmdr1 N86Y. AQ has been marketed in Kenya as an over-the-counter medication following widespread resistance to S/P and prior to widespread ACT use [2]. It would appear that availability of the cheaper AL (Coartem), driven by support from the Global Fund beginning 2008, significantly decreased the demand and usage of the more expensive AQ. This conjecture is supported by the observation of a rapid increase in AQ susceptibility between 2008 and 2011 (Figure 1B, Table 1). Therefore, whereas LU may modulate the parasite to institute resistance against MQ due to the physicochemical similarities in the two drugs, AQ may do the same through changes in Pfmdr1. This issue is more than academic as both AQ and LU have been combined with ART and are currently marketed in Kenya for malaria chemotherapy. The continued use of the two drugs may indirectly contribute towards mefloquine tolerance in Kenya. Finally, as expected a combination of four Pfcrt 76 and Pfmdr1 86 haplotypes namely K76-N86, K76-86Y, 76T-N86, 76T-86Y in comparison to CQ median IC50 value show that, K76T is the most important allele in CQ drug response (Table 3). This study has confirmed that the N86Y allele is critical in MQ drug response (Table 3).

**Conclusion**

Our data indicate that the changing drug policy during 2008–2011, which provided the ACT, in the form of CoArtem, on subsidy in private retail shops and freely in public hospitals, had an effect on drugs used during the same period. Subsequently, AQ and CQ showed increasing sensitivity from 2008 to 2011. Concordantly, Pfcrt and Pfmdr1 the resistance markers for CQ,
AQ and MQ showed a rapid conversion to wild types. Since MQ and LU show positive correlation, co-resistance between the two drugs is largely expected, and therefore increased use of AL may precipitate tolerance to both drugs. Results in this study implicate AQ in modulating parasite resistance towards CQ, LU and MQ via changes in Pfert and Pfmdr1. Continued surveillance is therefore required to monitor resistance profiles of the four drugs.

Acknowledgments

We thank Duke Omariba, our study coordinator and all the staff members at the MDR sentinel sites for their contribution in recruitment of participants and sample collection. We also thank the Director of the Kenya Medical Research Institute for permission to publish this work. The opinions and assertions contained herein are the private opinions of the authors and are not to be construed as reflecting the views of the US Army Medical Research Unit-Kenya or the US Department of Defense.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FE JJ DS NW DW. Performed the experiments: FE HA AC CO RO YO AO DJ LI WB LJ. Analyzed the data: FE HA LI WB JJ EK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: WB JJ DW. Wrote the paper: FE HA JJ DS DW EW EK BA.

References


