

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The effect of an essential free maternal health care policy on the utilization of health facilities, services and maternal and neonatal mortality in public health facilities

Mitobu¹, Bichangi^{2,3} and O'Manda⁴

Abstract

Background: Kenya abolished delivery fees in all public health facilities through a presidential directive effective on 12th June 2013. This paper aims to provide a brief overview of this policy's effect on health facility, service utilization and maternal and neonatal mortality in public health facilities.

Methods: A time series analysis was conducted on health facility, services utilization, maternal and neonatal mortality 2 years before and after the policy intervention in health facilities across 14 counties in Kenya.

Results: A statistically significant increase in the number of facility-based deliveries was identified with no significant changes in the ratio of maternal mortality and the rate of neonatal mortality.

Conclusion: The findings suggest that cost is a deterrent to health facility, service utilization in Kenya and thus free services are an important strategy to promote utilization of health facilities. However, there is a need to simultaneously address other factors that contribute to pregnancy-related and neonatal deaths.

Keywords: free maternal health care policy, Maternal mortality, Neonatal mortality and health facility, service utilization

Background

The reduction and elimination of pregnancy-related mortality remains a challenge in most low-income countries.

The maternal mortality ratio and the neonatal mortality rate in Kenya have been found to be 362/1000 live births and 22/1000 live births, respectively. It is estimated that only 61% of deliveries in the country are conducted in health facilities. Pregnancy-related deaths have been attributed to delivery without skilled birth attendance. High quality health facility services have been recommended as a solution to preventable maternal and neonatal deaths. For this reason, African

countries have either reduced or eliminated delivery fees to promote health facility, service utilization. Kenya joined other African countries in the abolishment of delivery fees in all public health facilities through a presidential directive signed into effect on 12th June 2013. Through this policy, public health facilities are reimbursed for costs incurred while providing services through a capitation fund provided by the Ministry of Health. This policy provides equal reimbursement for both spontaneous vaginal deliveries and caesarean sections. The amounts reimbursed to health facilities are based on their capacity to manage pregnancy and delivery complications. As such, Kenya shillings 25,500 is reimbursed for each delivery conducted in level 2 facilities, health centers and level 3 health facilities, sub-district hospitals. Kenya shillings 55,000 is reimbursed for each delivery carried out in level 4 health facilities, district hospitals and level 5 health facilities.

Correspondence: [cosgabigailco](mailto:cosgabigailco@gmail.com)

Equal contributors

¹Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases NITID, Nairobi
Nairobi, Kenya

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



The Author(s) 2018. Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

provincial hospitals and 15 ena shillings 15 S dollars are reimbursed for deliveries performed in national referral health facilities [6].

While eliminating delivery fees is a commendable intervention, pregnancy-related mortality due to the following three delays remains a concern: delays in deciding to seek skilled delivery services, delays in arriving at health facilities and delays in receiving adequate treatment and referral. Cost is not the only factor hindering the utilization of health facilities. In maternal and neonatal deaths have been attributed to other factors including lack of transport, long distances to health centers, poor equipped health facilities, quality of care in health facilities and traditional and cultural practices. Therefore, while elimination of delivery fees in a public health facility partially addresses economic barriers to maternal health care utilization, other economic barriers, health status, quality of health facilities, delivery services and political, social, environmental and religious factors that influence the utilization and outcomes of a maternal health care in the country have not been addressed [1, 15].

In addition, initial assessments of the implementation of this policy have identified various gaps such as drug and supply shortages, insufficient funding, skilled health care worker shortages, lack of skills among health workers, stakeholder non-involvement in the policy, delayed reimbursement of costs incurred, while providing free maternal health care, heavy workload, health worker demotivation, health care worker attitudes, low priorities in public health facilities and unavailability of ambulances for emergencies occurring at county level [16, 1]. In light of these contextual gaps, this study aimed to investigate the effects of the free maternal health care policy in ena on health facility delivery service utilization and maternal mortality ratio and neonatal mortality rate in public health facilities.

Methods

A time series analysis was performed with the period of interest being 24 months before policy implementation (June 2011 to March 2013) and 24 months after policy implementation (June 2013 to March 2015).

The study was conducted in public health facilities selected from 14 counties in the Republic of ena. At the time of data collection, ena's public health care facilities were organized in a hierarchical pyramidal structure comprising five levels: level 1 health facilities (health centers), level 2 health facilities (dispensaries), level 3 health facilities (sub-district hospitals), level 4 health facilities (district hospitals), level 5 health facilities (provincial hospitals) and level 6 health facilities (which are national referral hospitals). [1] Caesarean sections are carried out

in levels 4 and 6 health facilities and therefore health facilities in these three levels are the study sites. This hierarchical pyramidal structure is expected to change from six to four tiers once relevant legislation is passed by the national parliament [1, 22].

Deceased mothers and deceased neonates from the selected health facilities were included in the assessment of maternal and neonatal mortality. Mothers who had delivered in the selected health facilities during the 4 years under consideration were included in the assessment of health service utilization.

Fourteen of the fourteen counties in the Republic of ena were selected for inclusion in the study after a single-stage cluster sampling and subsequent simple random sampling procedures were applied [23]. The 4 counties were classified into high risk (high risk of maternal mortality) categories based on their perennial maternal mortality ratios. Of these counties, five with a high risk of maternal death to female population ratio above 1% (with a high risk of maternal death to female population ratio between 12 and 13) and four with a low risk of maternal mortality (maternal death to female population ratio below 12) were included in the study. These studies were selected via simple random sampling. Of the health facilities eligible for inclusion in the study, 14 counties were selected through stratified multistage sampling with the maternal mortality risk, county status of health facilities and location being the strata [1]. These health facilities were one maternal and child health center equivalent of a level 5 health facility in terms of infrastructure and human resources, 5 level 4 health facilities, 1 level 5 health facility and one level 6 public health facility.

Written consent was obtained from heads of health facilities included in the study as well as from the Director of Medical Services, Ministry of Health, ena. Health facilities were assigned unique identification codes which are only known to the authors.

The authors relied on primary data sources in the form of health facility maternal and child registers, audited records of maternal deaths, neonatal and death registers and death registers, as opposed to DHIS records to ensure data accuracy in the study. The instruments for data collection in this study were tabulated questionnaires to capture information on neonatal mortality rate, maternal mortality ratio and health facility delivery services utilization in each of the health facilities. The data collection instruments were pre-tested at a level 4 health facility, our research assistant recruited, trained and used in data collection.

The instrument used for data collection was a tabulated questionnaire designed to capture information on neonatal mortality rate, maternal mortality ratio and health facility delivery service utilization data for each of

the health facilities SPSS IBM version 23 as used for data analysis and the results were stratified by geographical location and health facility level. Interrupted time series analyses of quarterly maternal oral contraceptives, neonatal oral contraceptives and health facility deliveries numbers were performed using autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Diagnostic tests were performed to assess the general fit of the model and stationarity. Squared and traditional R^2 values were calculated. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) which is the standard deviation of the residuals prediction errors was used to measure the spread out of residuals. Last, Ljung-Box statistic which is a function of the accumulated sample autocorrelations was used as a diagnostic tool to test the lack of fit of a time series model through autocorrelations of the residuals.

Results

Health facility deliveries utilization

A statistically significant increase in the number of deliveries in the health facilities was identified. This number increased from 23461 before policy implementation to 335 after policy implementation representing a 25% increase ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1).

The results of the analysis of quarterly deliveries in the health facilities indicated a decreasing trend in deliveries slope -13131 ($p < 0.05$) during the 24 months preceding implementation of the policy. Thus during the 24 months before the intervention no significant change was identified in the number of facility-based deliveries. A significant increase however in the quarterly number of facility-based deliveries slope 124 ($p < 0.05$) in the health facilities was identified after policy implementation (Table 2).

Table 1 Total Deliveries in the different levels of health facilities

Variable	Variable Description	Total Deliveries Pre-Polic	Total Deliveries Post-Polic	P value
Location	Rural Based facilities	15311	23211	
	Urban Based facilities	14644	11341	
Facility Level	Maternity Nursing Home	3243	4115	
	Level 4 facilities	1135	1556	
	Level 5 facilities	633	466	
	Level 6 facilities	261	262	
All facilities	23461	3351		

A closer look at the deliveries utilization trends identified during the 6 months before policy implementation indicates the presence of a decreasing trend in the utilization of facility-based deliveries slope -124 ($p < 0.05$). During the 6 months after policy implementation this trend reversed and a significant increase in the number of deliveries in health facilities was observed slope 111 ($p < 0.05$).

Both the stationary and traditional R^2 tests yielded a value of 31 implying that 30% of the model was explained by the policy intervention. In addition a root mean square error (RMSE) value of 3422 was identified suggesting that a large portion of the variability observed in the number of deliveries could be explained by the predictive model. The mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) value of 25 indicated that the values predicted using the policy implementation model were on average within 25% of the actual values (Additional file 1).

Both the stationary and traditional R^2 values for all health facilities were 15 ($p < 0.05$) (Additional file 2). The stationary and traditional R^2 values varied from 31 to 43 across various categories of health facilities. This finding indicated that although policy implementation resulted in a remarkable higher number of facility-based deliveries this intervention had a non-uniform effect on deliveries utilization across the health facilities ($p < 0.05$).

Maternal oral contraceptive

A nonsignificant decrease in the ratio of maternal oral contraceptive in the health facilities was identified with the oral contraceptive ratio decreasing from 25311 live births to 23111 live births ($p > 0.05$) following policy implementation (Table 3). It is only in the rural areas that a significant decline in maternal oral contraceptive was recorded.

The ARIMA model parameters for the pre-intervention slope that was calculated using data from the health facilities showed a nonsignificant decrease in the rate of quarterly maternal oral contraceptive slope -164 ($p > 0.05$) during the 24 months preceding user fee removal. During the 24 months after free maternal health care services were first offered a significant increase in the rate of quarterly maternal oral contraceptive was observed in the health facilities under consideration slope 34 ($p < 0.05$). This finding indicated that the free maternal health care policy did not have a significant effect on facility-based maternal oral contraceptive (Table 4).

Both the stationary and traditional R^2 values for the model were 126 implying that only 12.6% of the variance observed in maternal oral contraceptive ratio could be explained by the free maternal health care policy intervention. The RMSE value of 1126 indicated that the interrupted time series model was

Table 2 Quarterly Patterns in Health Facility Delivery Service Utilization

	Slope 24 months pre-policy	Slope 12 months pre-policy	Slope 6 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months post-policy	Slope 6 months post-policy	Slope 12 months post-policy	Slope 24 months post-policy
All 77 facilities	-13.13 (<i>p</i> = 0.63)	-13.13 (<i>p</i> = 0.63)	-124.90 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	-13.13 (<i>p</i> = 0.063)	111.77 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	111.77 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	-26.65 (<i>p</i> = 0.18)	124.90 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
Urban-based facilities	-17.37 (<i>p</i> = 0.46)	-17.37 (<i>p</i> = 0.46)	-77.10 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	-17.37 (<i>p</i> = 0.46)	59.74 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	59.74 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	111.77 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	77.10 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
Rural-based facilities	4.65 (<i>p</i> = 0.44)	4.65 (<i>p</i> = 0.44)	-47.34 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	4.65 (<i>p</i> = 0.44)	51.99 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	51.99 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	59.74 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	47.34 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
Maternity hospital	-3.12 (<i>p</i> = 0.87)	-3.12 (<i>p</i> = 0.85)	23.54 (0.45)	-3.12 (<i>p</i> = 0.87)	-26.66 (<i>p</i> < 0.052)	-26.66 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	51.99 (<i>p</i> = 0.0)	-23.54 (<i>p</i> = 0.45)
Level 4 facilities	18.79 (<i>p</i> = 0.15)	18.79 (<i>p</i> = 0.15)	-68.00 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	18.79 (<i>p</i> = 0.15)	86.79 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	86.789 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	86.98 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	68.00 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
Level 5 facilities	-19.59 (0.13)	19.59 (<i>p</i> = 0.13)	-53.63 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	-19.59 (<i>p</i> = 0.13)	34.04 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	34.04 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	34.04 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	53.63 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
Level 6 facility	-0.75 (<i>p</i> = 0.87)	-0.76 (<i>p</i> = 0.09)	-0.76 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	16.26 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	16.26 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	16.26 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	16.26 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	17.01 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)

Table 3 Maternal Mortality Ratios

Variable	Variable Description	MMR Pre-Policy	MMR Post-Policy	P value
Location	Rural Based facilities	152	1162	
	Urban Based facilities	326	2443	
Facility Level	Maternity Home	444	3152	
	Level 4 facilities	115	1261	
	Level 5 facilities	254	11611	
	Level 6 facilities	112	5334	
All facilities		253	231	

reliable in predicting maternal mortality trends. The calculated MAPE indicated a 33% variation from the model prediction following the policy intervention. Additional file 3

Overall the Lung-Botest statistics were not significant for the health facilities. Significant Lung-Botest statistics were identified for level 5 health facilities only. The stationary R-squared and traditional R-squared values indicated that an initial decline in maternal mortality ratio occurred in the health facilities with the greatest decline in maternal mortality ratio identified in the level 6 health facilities. The maternal mortality ratios demonstrated a decreasing trend in the health facilities but exhibited marked although not consistent uniform seasonality. The free maternal health care policy intervention had a random and nonsignificant effect on maternal mortality ratios across all health facilities.

Neonatal Mortality Rate

A nonsignificant decline in neonatal mortality rates was identified with rates decreasing from 233 per 1000 live births to 221 per 1000 live births following policy implementation. Table 5

The pre-intervention slope indicated a nonsignificant decreasing trend in the quarterly neonatal mortality rates across all health facilities over the course of the 24 months preceding policy implementation. The implementation of the policy did not significantly affect neonatal mortality rates during the first 24 months following policy implementation. Table 6

Only 32% of the initial and nonsignificant change observed in the neonatal mortality rates could be attributed to policy implementation. The R-SME and MAPE values for this model were 61% and 16% respectively. Additional file 5

The general fit of the model for all health facilities was not significant. Both the stationary R-squared and traditional R-squared values indicated that

only 15% of the variation could be explained by the model. This indicates that policy implementation as associated with an initial difference in neonatal mortality rates when compared with baseline figures. Additional file 6

Discussion

A statistically significant increase in facility deliveries was observed in the area following the implementation of the free maternal health care policy in 2013. This result is similar to observations of the implementation of free maternal health care policies in other African countries. Applying user fees for delivery services in health facilities limits the demand and thus the elimination of user fees improves access to health facility delivery services. The increase in facility-based deliveries remained consistently high over the 2 years post-policy implementation. This finding is in contrast with other regional studies in which increased utilization of delivery services was documented during the initial 3 months following user fee removal. The high utilization of free delivery services over a long period of time in this study creates an opportunity to reduce a maternal and neonatal mortality.

Implementation of the free maternal health care policy in urban public health facilities did not have a significant effect on maternal and neonatal mortality. This observation is consistent with results of other local and international studies which have shown user-free health policies to have limited or no effect on maternal and neonatal mortality.

A significant decline in maternal mortality ratio was noted in the rural-based health facilities. The national household health expenditure and utilization survey shows that 66% of the country's population lives in rural areas and the rural population is more likely to use public health services than the urban residents. The national household health expenditure and utilization survey also showed that those in the poorest quintile were more likely to use public health facilities than those in the richest quintile. Although only 12% of the change in maternal mortality ratio is attributed to the free maternal health care policy, the reduction in maternal mortality ratio in rural-based health facilities may be attributed to a high utilization of free delivery services in rural areas where the largest population and poorer population reside.

As pregnancy-related deaths are attributed to delays in deciding to seek health facility delivery services, delays in arriving at health facilities, and delays in receiving adequate treatment and referral, the findings of this study emphasize the fact that other factors contribute to pregnancy-related deaths in urban public health facilities. The service readiness availability in 2013 when the free maternal health care policy was

Table 4 Quarterly Trends in Maternal Mortality Ratio

Facility	Slope 24 months pre-policy	Slope 12 months pre-policy	Slope 6 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months post-policy	Slope 6 months post-policy	Slope 12 months post-policy	Slope 24 months post-policy
All 77 health facilities	-1.64 (p = 0.20)	-1.64 (p = 0.20)	-5.12 (p < 0.05)	-1.64 (p = 0.20)	3.486 (p < 0.05)	3.49 (p < 0.05)	3.48 (p < 0.05)	3.47 (p < 0.05)
Urban-based facilities	-1.92 (p = 0.51)	-1.92 (p = 0.51)	-7.00 (p = 0.09)	-1.92 (p = 0.51)	5.09 (p = 0.08)	5.09 (p = 0.08)	5.09 (p = 0.08)	5.09 (p = 0.08)
Rural-based facilities	-1.38 (p = 0.40)	-1.38 (p = 0.40)	-2.37 (p = 0.31)	-1.38 (p = 0.40)	0.99 (p = 0.54)	0.99 (p = 0.54)	0.99 (p = 0.54)	0.99 (p = 0.54)
Maternity hospital	-0.08 (p = 0.94)	-0.08 (p = 0.94)	-2.25 (p = 0.17)	-0.08 (p = 0.94)	2.17 (p = 0.06)	2.17 (p = 0.06)	2.17 (p = 0.06)	2.17 (p = 0.06)
Level 4 facilities	-2.61 (p = 0.20)	-2.61 (p = 0.20)	-6.85 (p < 0.05)	-2.61 (p = 0.20)	4.24 (p < 0.05)	4.24 (p < 0.05)	4.24 (p < 0.05)	4.24 (p < 0.05)
Level 5 facilities	-4.51 (p = 0.06)	-4.51 (p = 0.06)	-6.70 (p = 0.05)	-4.51 (p = 0.06)	2.19 (p = 0.36)	2.19 (p = 0.36)	2.19 (p = 0.36)	2.19 (p = 0.36)
Level 6 facility	8.50 (p = 0.42)	8.50 (p = 0.42)	11.05 (p = 0.46)	8.50 (p = 0.42)	-2.55 (p = 0.81)	-2.55 (p = 0.81)	-2.55 (p = 0.81)	-2.55 (p = 0.81)

Table 5 Neonatal Mortality Rates

Variable	Variable Description	NMR Before Policy Implementation	NMR After Policy Implementation	P value
Location	Rural Based facilities	1321		
	Urban Based facilities	35134245		
Facility Level	Maternity Hospital	2422451		
	Level 4 facilities	3661		
	Level 5 facilities	262641		
	Level 6 facilities	12314454		
	All facilities	2332214		

implemented in the country shows that on about 2 of health facilities in the country had essential medicines for handling pregnancy related emergencies with 51 having Oxytocin injectables, 26 having magnesium sulphate injectables and 53 having gentamicin injectables. The unavailability of these essential drugs points to the inability of health facilities to handle pregnancy and child birth related complications hence no changes in maternal and neonatal mortality.

The adequacy of pre-existing healthcare infrastructure human resources for health and supply of medical commodities ought to be addressed before a ban on delivery fees given the high demand of services that comes with the abolition of delivery fees. As a signatory to the Abuja Declaration, the country committed itself to allocating at least 15% of the national budget to the health sector. However, close to two decades after signing the declaration, government funding for health care has remained consistently below the national annual budget. The national reproductive health strategy in Kenya notes that there are gaps in funding reproductive health services in Kenya. Loss of revenue due to abolition of delivery fees led to poor quality of services despite the increase in health facility delivery services utilization. This could in turn result in shortages of inputs like drugs and other supplies necessary to avert pregnancy related mortalities and deterioration of health care workers.

Delays in utilizing free delivery services occur because of the low levels of awareness of the availability and importance of health facility delivery services and the perception of pregnancy risk factors in pregnant women. In addition, the long distances from health facilities, unavailability and high costs of transport services, poor roads and rugged geographical conditions hinder accessibility to free delivery services.

assessments in many public health facilities have reported health system gaps in service delivery. These gaps include drug and supply shortages, inadequate health staff to provide care to a high number of others seeking delivery services, health worker demotivation, delayed reimbursement of costs incurred when providing free maternal health care services, a pathway to free delivery services due to private concerns, poor referral channels and poor quality of care in general. The interplay between these challenges and pregnancy related mortality needs to be further analyzed and addressed.

User fees have traditionally been seen as a major source of income for health facilities. Given that the free maternal health care policy reimbursements are provided by the National Hospital Insurance Fund (NHIF) which also reimburses health facilities for providing general health services to NHIF members, this double payment is not only duplicative but also inefficient and poorly understood by county health managers. They report that they do not know exactly how the funds for the free maternal health care policy should be utilized. With devolution, several changes have occurred regarding health care financing protocols in the counties. Before devolution of health services, facilities kept user fee revenue in their own bank accounts but these funds are not deposited at the county bank accounts as such, not all health facilities keep the regular NHIF reimbursements and the free maternal health care policy reimbursements. In view of this, concerns have been raised over diversion of the free maternal health care funds by county governments and this too has a negative implication on the quality of delivery services offered by the counties. It is for this reason that stakeholders in health are advising that reimbursement for offering free maternal health care services should be done through the Health Sector Services and HSS channel. They also note that the current level of compensating health facilities for delivering free maternal health care services is challenging given that it favors facilities in richer catchment areas thus reducing the facility revenues in areas serving smaller population groups hence compromised quality of services. Similarly, despite all health facilities conducting deliveries, the amounts reimbursed varies per facility level.

Limitations

Data used in the analyses presented in this manuscript have several notable limitations:

- Given that reimbursement of costs incurred in delivery services is based on the number of deliveries conducted in each health facility, the accuracy of data prior to the policy has been

Table 6 Quarterly Trends in Neonatal Mortality Rate

Facility	Slope 24 months pre-policy	Slope 12 months pre-policy	Slope 6 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months pre-policy	Slope 3 months post-policy	Slope 6 months post-policy	Slope 12 months post-policy	Slope 24 months post-policy
All 77 health facilities	-0.09 (p = 0.24)	-0.09 (p = 0.24)	-0.21 (p = 0.05)	-0.09 (p = 0.24)	0.12 (p = 0.10)	0.12 (p = 0.10)	0.12 (p = 0.10)	0.12 (p = 0.10)
Urban-based facilities	-0.10 (p = 0.48)	-0.10 (p = 0.48)	-0.41 (p < 0.05)	-0.10 (p = 0.10)	0.32 (p < 0.05)	0.32 (p < 0.05)	0.32 (p < 0.05)	0.32 (p < 0.05)
Rural-based facilities	-0.08 (p = 0.10)	-0.08 (p = 0.10)	-0.05 (p = 0.49)	-0.08 (p < 0.05)	-0.04 (p = 0.48)	-0.04 (p = 0.48)	-0.04 (p = 0.48)	-0.04 (p = 0.48)
Maternity hospital	-0.16 (p = 0.24)	-0.16 (p = 0.24)	-0.53 (p < 0.05)	-0.161 (p = 0.24)	0.37 (p < 0.05)	0.37 (p < 0.05)	0.37 (p < 0.05)	0.37 (p < 0.05)
Level 4 facilities	-0.09 (p = 0.06)	-0.09 (p = 0.06)	-0.12 (p < 0.05)	-0.09 (p = 0.06)	0.09 (p = 0.05)	0.09 (p = 0.05)	0.09 (p = 0.05)	0.09 (p = 0.05)
Level 5 facilities	0.17 (p = 0.27)	0.17 (p = 0.27)	0.39 (p = 0.07)	0.17 (p = 0.27)	-0.22 (p = 0.14)	-0.22 (p = 0.14)	-0.22 (p = 0.14)	-0.22 (p = 0.14)
Level 6 facility	-0.15 (p = 0.83)	-0.15 (p = 0.83)	-0.64 (p = 0.52)	-0.15 (p = 0.83)	0.50 (p = 0.48)	0.50 (p = 0.48)	0.50 (p = 0.48)	0.505 (p = 0.48)

poor when compared to the post policy implementation data

- Although most of the findings from this study are consistent with other local and international studies, generalisation of findings to depict the national picture in the implementation of the free maternal health care policy can be questioned given the shortcomings in equal sampling of the various facilities, religious dynamics, geographical dynamics and other contextual factors affecting health facility deliveries services utilisation in the country.
- The free maternal health care policy has been implemented in all public health facilities in Kenya. This provided limitations in getting control groups in public health facilities where the policy is not implemented for comparisons.
- The study has focused on the outcomes of the policy implementation during the first 2 years of the policy intervention. The trends before 2011 and the trajectory of the outcomes after the 2 years of consideration are unknown and it is impossible to know whether the observed trends continue after the 2 years of policy implementation under consideration.
- This study is based on retrospective data based on maternal death audits over a period of 4 years. They have been confounded by population dynamics and context-specific factors in maternal audits. Data on the exact timing of seeking medical help by others is not available as such since some of the maternal deaths have been as a result of late presentation in health facilities.

Conclusion

The elimination of user fees for deliveries services in Kenya resulted in a significant increase in the number of deliveries conducted in Kenyan public health facilities. This result indicates that cost can be a key deterrent to delivery service utilisation. This finding implies that removal of user fees for deliveries services can serve as an important strategy to increase health facility delivery service utilisation. However, this policy intervention appeared to have no significant effect on maternal and neonatal mortality. This lack of effect indicates that low utilisation of health facility deliveries services is not the only factor contributing to pregnancy-related deaths in low-income countries such as Kenya. Low utilisation of deliveries services in health facilities could be a contributing factor. In addition to eliminating fees to improve health service access, there is a need to simultaneously address other socio-economic, political and contextual factors that are known to contribute to pregnancy-related deaths.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Fitness of health facility deliveries services Model. This additional file is derived from analysis of the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) on all health facilities. Deliveries. DOC13 kb

Additional file 2: Model statistics of health facility deliveries services generated through LungBo analysis of all health facilities. Deliveries. DOC14 kb

Additional file 3: Fitness of maternal mortality ratio model. This additional file is derived from analysis of the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of maternal mortality ratio in all the health facilities. DOC13 kb

Additional file 4: Model statistics of maternal mortality ratio generated through LungBo analysis of maternal mortality ratio in the health facilities. DOC14 kb

Additional file 5: Fitness of maternal mortality ratio model. This additional file is derived from analysis of the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of neonatal mortality ratio in all the health facilities. DOC13 kb

Additional file 6: Model statistics of neonatal mortality ratio generated through LungBo analysis of neonatal mortality ratio in the health facilities. DOC1 kb

Abbreviations

APHRC: Africa Population and Health Research Center
ARIMA: Autoregressive Moving Averages
CS: Caesarean Section
D: Degrees of freedom
HSS: Health Sector Services
IBIM: International Business Machines
IDRC: International Development Research Centre
MAPE: Mean Absolute Percentage Error
MBChB: Bachelor of Medicine and Surgery
MD: Doctor of Medicine
MMED: Master of Medicine in Obstetrics and gynecology
MMR: Maternal Mortality Ratio
NHI: National Hospital Insurance and NMR: Neonatal Mortality Rate
PhD: Doctor of Philosophy
PMRCPath: Masters in Human Pathology
RMSE: Root Mean Square Error
SE: Standard Error
SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences
NITID: Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases
S: United States
HO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

Partially funded by an African Doctoral Dissertation Research Fellowship. ADDRaard offered by the African Population and Health Research Centre (APHRC) in partnership with the International Development Research Centre (IDRC). APHRC received the study protocol, trained itobu CM on protocol development, data analysis and manuscript writing.

Availability of data and materials

All the data collection tools and data are in the custody of Dr Cosmas Mugabi and are available on request.

Authors contributions

All the three authors (CMP, BMO) made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, and they have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and they have given final approval of the version to be published, and they have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the National Hospital and Nursing Institute of Nairobi Ethical Committee. Ethical approval was also obtained from the Ministry of Health headquarters in Kenya through health officials and health facility administrators.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Copeting interests

The authors declare that thehae no copeting interests

Publisher s Note

Springer Nature reains neutral ith regard to urisdictional clais in published aps and institutional affiliations

Author details

¹Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases NIMDniersitof Nairobi Nairobi
²Department of Huan Anatomiersitof Nairobi Nairobi
³Department of Obstetrics and necologniersitof henthentBelgiu
⁴Department of Huan Pathologniersitof NairobiNairobi

Receied: 1 Septeber 21Accepted: 16 March 21

Published online: 27 March 2018

References

1Capbell OMrahaStrategies for reducing atermal ortalit: getting on ith hat orksLancet2636543:124

2ena National Bureau of Statistics and ICMacroena deographic and health surereport213 214ena: Ministrof Health215 <https://dhsprogracopubspdf/fr3fr3pdf>

3Mcinnon BHarper Saufan SBergein Reoing user fees for facilitbased delierserices: a differenceindifferences ealuation fro ten subSaharan African countriesHealth PolicPlan21534:432 41

4DeAllegri MTiendrebog Miller OMahn AFidde nderstanding hoe delierin a contet of user fee reduction: a cross sectional led ethods studin rural Burkina asoBMC Pregnanc Childbirth2151533

5Ministrof Health ena: Speech bHEHonhuru enattaCH President and CoanderinChief of the Defence orces of the Republic of ena During the Madaraka DaCelebrations Nao National Stadu ue 1213213 nationcokeblobie1634data52113/ttgr/speechpdf Accessed 24 Mar 214

6Ministrof Healthena: ree atermal health care policilaunch213 <https://mchcrorg/Portals/Ecosoc/Reports/spleenting2ree2/Maternal2Health2Care2in2enapdf> Accessed 13 Ma215

McCarthyMaine DA fraeork for analng deternants of atermal ortalitStud aPlan1223:23 33

ena National Bureau of Statistics and ICMacroena deographic and health surereportena: Ministrof Health21 <https://edicinedocsdocuments/116es1116epdf> Accessed 12 ue 214

ubs EBral Dan der List MEIbers C: Lessons learned froa atermal health studin Nandi Countenaleaming analsis briefAsterda Institute for lbal Health and DeelopentPharAccess oundation Healthcare ualit216No 5 April 216

1att SSord rueger Pplevation of a health care polic: an analsis of barriers and facilitators to practice changeBMC Health SerRes255:53

11Sikhada BPorter MTeilingen EThe role of othersinlain antenatal care decision alng in Nepal: a ualitatiie studBMC Pregnanc Childbirth21134 <https://doi.org/10.1161/41233134>

12Cheptuitonga MMutua EMukui SNdabuki oia : Barriers to Access and tiliation of Maternal and Infant Health Serices in Migori enaDeCountr Stud214ISSN 2225 565o4No15

13CaimePnderstanding public polic theories and issuesNeorlc Palgrae Mcillan212 <https://online.lbrarilrecodoipdf/11111/pad12> Accessed Mar 216

14NaaEploring the actors and factors inoled in public polic iplevation in Bangladesh: a case studof reproductie health policSoc Sci Res Netork213 <https://doi.org/10.1213/ssm22252> Accessed 10Oct 216

15Sanneing Lulane AAghren BHealth sttecapacitatermal health policiplevation in the state of uaratIndialob Health Action 2136:1

16Lang at EManri LHhealthcare serice proiders and facilitadnistrators perspecties of the free atermal healthcare serices policin Malindi Districtena: a ualitatiie studReprod Health21512:5 <https://doi.org/10.1161/6s121541>

1aala Elevation challenges of free atermitserices polic in ena: the health orkers perspectiePan Afr Med 21522:1 5:doi.org/10.1164pa21522356

1Billodden : Saple sie deternation for finite populations24 <http://alliagoddencosaplesieforulapdf> Accessed 2Mar 215

1oerment of ena: The ena constitution2121 <http://kenalaorg/leactielactid/Const21> Accessed 1 ul216

2aai RThe ena health sste analysis of the situation and enduring challengespn Med 2522:134 4

21oerment of ena: The Health Bill 214 <http://kenalaorg/kfileadn/pdf/onloads/bills/215HealthBill215pdf> Accessed 1 ul216

22Ministrof Healthena: ena health polic214 2321https://googlecourtsatrcetsrscsourceebcd4cadrauact edahEit56SAhcAHeLDoaggMAMurl <http://3A22healthgo.ke/23pdd/3D2226ind3D1usg/ACN6HA2Ei1TARLBitgsig2/gTlgr1ppg6tr2oCAbb144431dd24>Accessed 1 eb 21

23obo DTrop DLProposal and thesis riting: an introductionNairobi: Pauline Publications Africa26http://aseancoindephoption cok2ieitellistaskuserid112Accessed 13 ue 214

24McPake Bser charges for health serices in deeloing countries: a reie of the econo literatureSoc Sci Med1336:13 45

25Penfold SHamison EBell itaurice AEvaluation of the delierfee eption policin hana: population estiates of changes in delier serice utiliation in to regionshana Med 2413:1

26itter SDieng TMbengue DMoreira IDe Brouere The national free delierand caesarean policin Senegal: ealuating process and outcoes Health PolicPlan2125:34 2

2itter Shadka SNath HTiari SThe national free delierpolicin Nepal: earleidence of its effects on health facilitiesHealth PolicPlan 21126Suppl 2:4 1

2u Eans DBadaa PNabonga OgangOgal PNabulhono P MlenaAguilar Anderstanding the ipact of eliinating user fees: utiliation and catastrophic health ependitures in gandaSoc Sci Med 2662:66 6

2Burgert CRBigogo Adau Odhiabo Buehler Breian R Laserson Hael Meikin DRlpact of iplevation of free high ualithealth care on health facilitattendance bsick children in rural estern enaTrop Med Int Health211166:11 2

3Lagarde MPaler NThe ipact of user fees on health serice utiliation in loand iddleincoe countries: hostrong is the evidenceBull orld Health Organ216 <http://hoitbulletin/olues61141/pdf>Accessed 11 Sept 216

31Hatt LEMakinen MMadhaan SConlon CMEffects of user fee eptions on the proision and use of atermal health serices: a reie of literatureHealth Populnutr213314 Suppl 2S6

32Chua Musibi Okungu Coodan CMolneuCReducing user fees for priarhealth care in ena: policon paper or policin practice Int EuitHealth2:15

33Ministrof HealthThe 213 ena household health ependiture and utiliation sureAvailable at :https://healthpolicproectcopubs/45/HESReportanuarpdf Accessed 2ul21

34Thaddeus SMaine DToo far to alk: atermal ortalitin contetSoc Sci Med143:11 1

35Ministrof Health ena and HOena Serice Aailabilitand Readiness Assesment MappingA Coprehensie apping of health serices capacifor serice proisionsector inestents and readiness to proide serices bCountSARAMreport 213Aailable online :appoint healthinfosstesdatacatalogindephpcatalog42donload145 Accessed ul21

36Meessen BHercot DNoirhoe MRidde Tibouti ABicaba Airunga Tashoba Gilson LReoing user fees in the health sector in lo incoe countries a ulticountreieNeorlc NICE2

3Ministrof inanceenaHealth sector budget 21 <http://healthpolicplusconspubs/613623INALNationalandCountHealthBudget/Analsispdf> Accessed 11 Aug 21

3Ministrof Healthena reproductieatermalnebornchild and adolescent health RMNCAHInestent fraeorkoerment of ena 216anuar31216Aailable at :http://globalfinancingfacilitorgsites/gffnefiles/documents/ena2RMNCAH2Inestent2raeorkMarch2216pdf Accessed Aug 21

3. Ministry of Health Nairobi and EMRI/Elco Trust/Tie for comprehensive healthcare costing in Kenya: report on the feasibility to develop pilot implementation of a unit cost system for health interventions. 2015. <http://publications.universallhealth23.org/uploads/kenyahealthcare-costing-feasibility-report-ohersion215.pdf> Accessed 1 Aug 21
4. Ministry of Health Status of implementation of free maternal services MS program in the devolved health system in Kenya Nairobi 2015. Online Available at: <http://publications.universallhealth23.org/uploads/free-maternal-health-services-report-feb215-final1.doc.pdf>
41. Akeru EN, Nikuri MT, Sofah BS, Sarah edenge Soodan CM, Molneu S. Role of health sector services fund implementation and experience 2013. <http://researchonline.shtacuk2641/Role%20of%20Health%20Sector%20Services%20Fund%20Implementation%20and%20Experience.pdf> Accessed 1 Aug 21

Submit your manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- easy online submission
- Our selection tool helps you choose the most relevant journal
- expert proofreading and cover letter support
- convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
[bioedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

